

Search within results  Per Page: 25 | Export | Email Selected Results

Showing 1-25 of 335

Refine  Select All on Page Sort By: Sequence

**Author**

**Affiliation**

**Quick Links**

Search for Upcoming Conferences

IEEE Publication Recommender

IEEE Author Center

---

**Proceedings**

The proceedings of this conference will be available for purchase through Curran Associates.

Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS), 2021 21st International Conference on

Print on Demand Purchase at

**An Optimization and Validation Method to Detect the Collision Scenarios and Identifying the Safety Specification of Highly Automated Driving Vehicle**

Marzana, Khatun; Gabriel Batista, Caldeira, Rolf, Jung, Michael, Glaß  
Publication Year: 2021, Page(s): 1570 - 1575  
Cited by: Papers (1)

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#)

---

**Frequency modulation about electrical noise effect in Radar application for Autonomous Vehicle Systems**

Sung Hoon Kim; Jong Gyu Park  
Publication Year: 2021, Page(s): 1576 - 1579

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#)

---

**Lane Change Intention Inference of Surrounding Vehicle: Comparative Study on Relevance Vector Machine (RVM) and Support Vector Machine (SVM)**

Jin Ho Yang; Dae Jung Kim; Chung Choo Chung  
Publication Year: 2021, Page(s): 1580 - 1585

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#)

---

**Barrier Lyapunov Function-Based Safe Reinforcement Learning Algorithm for Autonomous Vehicles with System Uncertainty**

Yuxiang Zhang; Xiaoling Liang; Shuzhi Sam Ge; Bingzhao Gao; Tong Heng Lee  
Publication Year: 2021, Page(s): 1592 - 1598

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#)

Search within results  Export | Email Selected Results

for **uddin**

Refine  Select All on Page Sort By: Sequence

**Author**

**Affiliation**

**Quick Links**

Search for Upcoming Conferences

IEEE Publication Recommender

IEEE Author Center

---

**Proceedings**

The proceedings of this conference will be available for purchase through Curran Associates.

Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS), 2021 21st International Conference on

Print on Demand Purchase at **Partner**

**On a Proxy-Based Control as Multiple Controllers with Feedback Interconnections**

Auralius Manurung; Sigit Santoso; Nur Uddin; Lisa Kristiana  
Publication Year: 2021, Page(s): 1043 - 1048

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#)

Conferences > 2021 21st International Conf.

## On a Proxy-Based Control as Multiple Controllers with Feedback Interconnections

Publisher: IEEE | Cite This | PDF

Auralius Manurung, Sigit Santoso, Nur Uddin, Lisa Kristiana | All Authors

23  
Full  
Text Views

### Abstract

#### Document Sections

1. Introduction
2. Basic Concept
3. Proxy-Based Control's Examples
4. The Proposed Simple Proxy-Based Control
5. Proof-of-Concept Hardware

### Abstract:

A proxy-based control, in its core, is two controllers combined in series. This paper presents the fundamental aspects of such a unique control technique. We first establish the main features of a proxy-based control. These features are mainly related to the stability of a controlled system. Additionally, we propose a simple form of a proxy-based control and implement it into a test device for proof of concept. The simple proxy-based control that we propose in this paper is composed of two constant gains (proportional terms) and one integral term to remove the steady-state error. This integral term must be attached to the controller with the lower impedance. We also explore another feature of a proxy-based control during this hardware implementation: how we use one control gain to perform fine-tuning while using the other control gain to maintain system stability. We demonstrate this by adding an adaptation law also to the controller with the lower impedance. All obtained results align with the presented theory.

Published in: 2021 21st International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS)

### More Like This

- Event-Triggered Adaptive Control for a Class of Nonlinear Pure-Feedback Systems  
2019 Chinese Automation Congress (CAC)  
Published: 2019
- Development of an adaptive learning PD control for robotic system applications  
Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Conference on Control Applications, 2004.  
Published: 2004

Show More

### Abstract

#### Document Sections

1. Introduction
2. Basic Concept
3. Proxy-Based Control's Examples
4. The Proposed Simple Proxy-Based Control
5. Proof-of-Concept Hardware Implementation

#### Show Full Outline

#### Authors

#### Figures

#### References

#### Keywords

#### Metrics

### Abstract:

A proxy-based control, in its core, is two controllers combined in series. This paper presents the fundamental aspects of such a unique control technique. We first establish the main features of a proxy-based control. These features are mainly related to the stability of a controlled system. Additionally, we propose a simple form of a proxy-based control and implement it into a test device for proof of concept. The simple proxy-based control that we propose in this paper is composed of two constant gains (proportional terms) and one integral term to remove the steady-state error. This integral term must be attached to the controller with the lower impedance. We also explore another feature of a proxy-based control during this hardware implementation: how we use one control gain to perform fine-tuning while using the other control gain to maintain system stability. We demonstrate this by adding an adaptation law also to the controller with the lower impedance. All obtained results align with the presented theory.

Published in: 2021 21st International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS)

Date of Conference: 12-15 October 2021

INSPEC Accession Number: 21546004

Date Added to IEEE Xplore: 28 December 2021

DOI: 10.23919/ICCAS52745.2021.9649870

ISBN Information:

Publisher: IEEE

ISSN Information:

Conference Location: Jeju, Korea, Republic of

#### 1. Introduction

A proxy-based control is relatively a new control method that was introduced in 2005 by Kikuuwe et al. (see [1], [2]). It has been implemented successfully in several other works [3]-[5]. All of the authors' knowledge, a proxy-based control has not been implemented in hardware yet.

Sign In to Continue Reading

### learning PD control for robotic system applications

Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Conference on Control Applications, 2004.  
Published: 2004

Show More

#### Authors

#### Figures

#### References

#### Keywords

#### Metrics

# ICCAS 2021

## 2nd CALL FOR PAPERS

### 2021 The 21<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems

ICCAS 2021 will be organized as a **HYBRID CONFERENCE** and it will be held on October 12-15, 2021 in Jeju, Korea and online. The aim of ICCAS 2021 is to bring together professors, researchers, engineers and students worldwide to present their recent works and discuss the state-of-the-art technologies related to control, automation, robotics, and systems.

October 12~15, 2021 Ramada Plaza Hotel, Jeju, Korea <http://2021.iccas.org>

#### Paper Submission

You are cordially invited to submit Regular Papers (4 to 6 pages) or Interactive Poster Paper (2 pages) to <http://2021.iccas.org>. Paper template file can be downloaded from the website. Accepted papers will be published in the ICCAS 2021 Proceedings that will be indexed in IEEE Xplore, SCOPUS and EI compendex, and will be submitted for indexing in Clarivate Analytics Conference Proceedings Citation Index (ISI Web of Science).

#### Important Dates

**May 31, 2021:** The deadline of Submission of Organized Session Proposals

**June 7, 2021:** The deadline of Submission of Full Papers

**July 28, 2021:** Notification of Acceptance

**August 25, 2021:** The deadline of Submission of Final Camera-ready Papers

#### Plenary Speakers



**Yoichi Hori**  
The University of Tokyo  
Japan



**Marcia O'Malley**  
Rice University  
USA



**Davide Scaramuzza**  
University of Zurich  
Switzerland



#### Invited Speakers



**Hyo-Sung Ahn**  
GIST  
Korea



**Kyoungchul Kong**  
KAIST  
Korea



**Jaeheung Park**  
Seoul National University  
Korea



**Ivan Petrović**  
University of Zagreb  
Croatia



**Subir Kumar Saha**  
IIT Delhi  
India



**Xin Xu**  
Nat'l Univ. of Defence Tech.  
China



**General Chair**  
Doo Yong Lee (KAIST, Korea / ICROS President)

**General Co-Chair**  
Seul Jung (Chungnam Nat'l Univ., Korea)

**Program Co-Chairs**  
Hyun Myung (KAIST, Korea)  
Hyoun Jin Kim (Seoul Nat'l Univ., Korea)

**Award Committee Co-Chairs**  
Hyo-Sung Ahn (GIST, Korea)  
Jee-Hwan Ryu (KAIST, Korea)

**Exhibition Co-Chairs**  
Kyunghwan Kim (NT Robot, Korea)  
Kyoungchul Kong (KAIST, Korea)

**Finance Co-Chairs**  
Sooyeong Yi (Seoul Nat'l Univ. of Sci. and Tech, Korea)  
Young Soo Suh (Univ. of Ulsan, Korea)

**Forum Co-Chairs**  
Bong-Soo Kang (Hannam Univ., Korea)  
Insoon Yang (Seoul Nat'l Univ., Korea)

**Industry Relation Chair**  
Dong Jin Hyun (Hyundai Motor Group, Korea)

**Interactive Session Chair**  
Dong Il Park (KIMM, Korea)

**Local Co-Chairs**  
Ho-Chan Kim (Jeju Nat'l Univ., Korea)  
Jin Joo Lee (GIST, Korea)

**Organized Session Co-Chairs**  
Dong Joon Lee (Seoul Nat'l Univ., Korea)  
Joonbum Bae (UNIST, Korea)

**Publication Co-Chairs**  
Jaeheung Park (Seoul Nat'l Univ., Korea)  
Myun Joong Hwang (Univ. of Seoul, Korea)

**Publicity Co-Chairs**  
Hae-Won Park (KAIST, Korea)  
Sehoon Oh (DGIST, Korea)

**Workshop Co-Chairs**  
Sohee Han (POSTECH, Korea)  
Sohee Kim (DGIST, Korea)

**Regional Co-Chairs**  
Ying Tan (The Univ. of Melbourn Australia)  
Xin Xu (NUDT, China)

Ivan Petrović (University of Zagreb, Croatia)  
Subir Kumar Saha (IIT Delhi, India)  
Kazuo Kiguchi (Kyushu University, Japan)  
Anwar P.P. Abdul Majeed (Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia)  
Justin Pang Chee Khiong (Singapore Inst. of Tech, Singapore)  
David Banjerdpongchai (Chulalongkorn University, Thailand)  
Kai Tai Song (Nat'l Chiao-Tung Univ., Taiwan)  
Won Jong Kim (Texas A & M Univ., USA)  
Hoang Hong Hai (HUUST, Vietnam)

**Advisory Committee**  
Wook Hyun Kwon (Seoul National Univ, Korea)  
Hyung Suck Cho (KAIST, Korea)  
Chul Joo Hwang (Jusung Engineering, Korea)  
Chung Choo Chung (Hanyang Univ., Korea)  
Duk Hyun Kang (RS Automation, Korea)  
Thomas Parisini (IEEE CSS, USA)  
Terry Martin (IEEE IES, USA)  
Seth Hutchinson (IEEE RAS, USA)  
Akira Ono (SICE, Japan)  
Yiguang Hong (TCCT, CAA, China)  
Jia-Yush Yen (CACSS, Taiwan)  
Keum-Shik Hong (ACA, Korea)  
Sinchai Kamolphiwong (ECTI, Thailand)

Technically Co-sponsored by



Organized by

Institute of Control, Robotics, and Systems (ICROS), Email: [conference@icros.org](mailto:conference@icros.org)



**Topics of the conference include but are not limited to**

Control Theory and Applications  
 Robotics and Mechatronics  
 Machine Learning and Big Data  
 Information and Network Theories  
 Autonomous Vehicle Systems  
 Human-Robot Interactions

Process Control Systems  
 Machine Vision and Perception  
 Bio & Ecological Systems  
 Control Devices and Instruments  
 Artificial Intelligent Systems  
 Cyber Physical Systems

Guidance, Navigation & Control  
 Sensors and Actuators  
 Human Augmented Robots  
 Industrial Applications of Control  
 Smart Manufacturing System  
 Civil and Urban Control Systems

**International Program Committee**

Jordi Albo [La Salle - Ramon Llull Univ, Spain]  
 Hajime Asama [Tokyo Univ, Japan]  
 Juhoon Back [Kwangju Univ, Korea]  
 Seung-Hwan Baek [KAIST, Korea]  
 Young-bong Bang [Seoul Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Hyochong Bang [KAIST, Korea]  
 Pinhas Ben-Tzvi [George Washington Univ, USA]  
 Kyung-Seok Byun [Mokpo Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Dong Hyuk Cha [Korea Polytechnic Univ, Korea]  
 Naehyuck Chang [KAIST, Korea]  
 Chwan Hsen Chen [Yuan Ze Univ, Taiwan]  
 Jian Chen [City Univ of Hong Kong, Hong Kong]  
 Kwang-Hyun Cho [KAIST, Korea]  
 Kyoungrae Cho [Dong Seoul College, Korea]  
 Se-Hyung Cho [Sun-Moon Univ, Korea]  
 Hye Kyung Cho [Hansung Univ, Korea]  
 Kyujin Cho [Seoul National University, Korea]  
 Jae Weon Choi [Pusan Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Chintae Choi [RIST, Korea]  
 Sunglok Choi [ETRI, Korea]  
 Jaesik Choi [KAIST, Korea]  
 Han-Lim Choi [KAIST, Korea]  
 Hyun-Taek Choi [KRISO, Korea]  
 Jaesoon Choi [Asan Medical Center, Korea]  
 Kwan-ho Chun [Chungnam Univ, Korea]  
 Chung Choo Chung [Hanyang Univ, Korea]  
 Woonjin Chung [Korea Univ, Korea]  
 Hyun-Joon Chung [KIRO, Korea]  
 David Clavesau [California State Univ Channel Islands, USA]  
 Carl Crane [Univ. of Florida, USA]  
 Rishuparna Datta [Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India]  
 Baocang Ding [Chongqing Univ of Posts and Telecommunications, China]  
 Tae Yong Doh [Hankbat Univ, Korea]  
 Jiuxiang Dong [Northeastern Univ, China]  
 Dong Eui Chang [KAIST, Korea]  
 Junping Du [Beijing Univ. of Sci. and Tech, China]  
 Guangren Duan [Harbin Inst. of Tech, China]  
 Yongsoo Eun [DGIST, Korea]  
 Anna Friesel [Technical Univ. of Denmark, Denmark]  
 Li-Chen Fu [Nat'l Taiwan Univ, Taiwan]  
 Toshio Fukuda [Nagoya Univ, Japan]  
 Furong Gao [Hong Kong Univ. of Sci. and Tech, Hong Kong]  
 Luis Gomes [Univ. Nova Lisbon, Portugal]  
 Gourab Sen Gupta [Massey Univ, New Zealand]  
 Maki K. Habib [American Univ, in Cairo, Egypt]  
 Ji-Hyeong Han [Seoul National Univ of Science and Technology, Korea]  
 Hideki Hashimoto [Chuo Univ, Japan]  
 Nguyen Quang Hoang [Hanoi Univ. of Sci. & Tech, Vietnam]  
 Keum-Shik Hong [Pusan Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Seyed Hossein Hosseini [Univ. of Tabriz, Iran]  
 Jianghai Hu [Purdue Univ, USA]  
 Biao Huang [Univ. of Alberta, Canada]  
 Uk-Youl Huh [Inha Univ, Korea]  
 Kojiro Iizuka [Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan]  
 Sunghoon Im [DGIST, Korea]  
 Masato Ishikawa [Osaka Univ, Japan]  
 Hirishi Ito [Kyushu Inst. of Tech, Japan]  
 Gyu-In Jee [Konkuk Univ, Korea]  
 Jae Wook Jeon [Sungkyunkwan Univ, Korea]  
 Haemin Jeon [Hankbat Univ, Korea]  
 Seonghee Jeong [Osaka Electro-communication Univ, Japan]  
 Inbae Jeong [Georgia Institute of Technology, USA]  
 Yingmin Jia [Beihang Univ, China]  
 Bin Jiang [Nanjing Univ. of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China]  
 Sungho Jo [KAIST, Korea]  
 Jun Jo [Griffith Univ, Australia]  
 Kang-Hyun Jo [Univ of Ulsan, Korea]  
 Gwanghyeok Jo [KARI, Korea]  
 Il-Kyun Jung [Korea Electronics Tech. Inst, Korea]  
 Gwang-Pil Jung [Seoul Tech. Korea]  
 Wonsuk Jung [Chungnam National Univ, Korea]  
 Jongdae Jung [KRISO, Korea]  
 Jin-Woo Jung [Dongguk Univ, Korea]  
 Bong-Soo Kang [Hannam Univ, Korea]  
 Byoung Hun Kang [Korea Polytechnic Univ, Korea]  
 Chul-Goo Kang [Konkuk Univ, Korea]  
 Duk Hyun Kang [RS Automation, Korea]  
 Taesam Kang [Konkuk Univ, Korea]  
 Hamid Reza Karimi [Politecnico di Milano, Italy]  
 Kenji Kashima [Kyoto Univ, Japan]  
 Seok-Cheol Kee [Chungbuk National Univ, Korea]  
 Hyunseop Kim [Kyushu Inst. of Tech, Japan]

Jin-Oh Kim [Kwangju Univ, Korea]  
 Jung-Su Kim [Seoul Tech, Korea]  
 Young Han Kim [Donga Univ, Korea]  
 Youngbok Kim [Pukyong Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Wanhee Kim [Chung-Ang Univ, Korea]  
 DaeEun Kim [Yonsei Univ, Korea]  
 Deok-Hwa Kim [NaverLabs, Korea]  
 Dowan Kim [Hankbat Univ, Korea]  
 Donghan Kim [Kyunghee Univ, Korea]  
 Minjun Kim [KAIST, Korea]  
 Bong-Geun Kim [KAIST, Japan]  
 Ayoung Kim [KAIST, Korea]  
 Yong-Jae Kim [Korea Univ. of Technology & Education, Korea]  
 Won Hwa Kim [Univ of Texas, Arlington, CSE, USA]  
 Euntae Kim [Yonsei Univ, Korea]  
 Ue-Hwan Kim [KAIST, Korea]  
 Jung Kim [KAIST, Korea]  
 Jongheon Kim [Hyundai Robotics, Korea]  
 Junmo Kim [KAIST, Korea]  
 Jinwhan Kim [KAIST, Korea]  
 Hangeun Kim [SeaDronix, Korea]  
 Min Young Kim [Kyungpook National Univ, Korea]  
 Kyung-Soo Kim [KAIST, Korea]  
 Chang-Sei Kim [Chonnam National Univ, Korea]  
 Jong-Hyeon Kim [Seoul National Univ of Science and Technology, Korea]  
 Sung Wan Kim [Soc National Univ, Korea]  
 Nak Yong Ko [Chosun Univ, Korea]  
 Kyoungchul Kong [Sogang Univ, Korea]  
 Seung-Hyun Kong [KAIST, Korea]  
 Won Mo Koo [ETRI/News, Korea]  
 Takashi Kubota [IAXA, Tokyo Univ, Japan]  
 Dongsuk Kum [KAIST, Korea]  
 Sam Tak-Wu Kwong [City Univ of Hong Kong, Hong Kong]  
 Ki-Uk Kyung [KAIST, Korea]  
 Meng Cheng Lau [Univ of Manitoba, Canada]  
 Suk Lee [Pusan Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Wangheon Lee [Hansei Univ, Korea]  
 Jay H. Lee [KAIST, Korea]  
 Cnoon-Young Lee [Kyungpook Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Chul-Hee Lee [Inha Univ, Korea]  
 Hee-Hyol Lee [Waseda Univ, Japan]  
 Ho Cheol Lee [ADD, Korea]  
 Jang Myung Lee [Pusan Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Joo-Ho Lee [Ritsumeikan Univ, Japan]  
 Kwang Moon Lee [Sogang Univ, Korea]  
 Moon Yong Lee [Yeungnam Univ, Korea]  
 Se Han Lee [Kyungnam Nat'l Univ, Korea]  
 Serin Lee [KAIST, Korea]  
 Young Jae Lee [Konkuk Univ, Korea]  
 Kang-Hee Lee [Soongsil Univ, Korea]  
 Ki-Baek Lee [Kwangju Univ, Korea]  
 Dongjun Lee [Seoul National Univ, Korea]  
 Dong-Hyun Lee [Kumoh Nat'l Institute of Technology, Korea]  
 Donghwa Lee [Daegu Univ, Korea]  
 Donghwan Lee [KAIST, Korea]  
 Dongheui Lee [TUM, Germany]  
 Bumjoo Lee [Myung-Ji Univ, Korea]  
 Sang Wan Lee [KAIST, Korea]  
 Sun-Woo Lee [Hallym Univ, Korea]  
 Serin Lee [Caltex, USA]  
 Seungmok Lee [Keytech, USA]  
 Seung-Jae Lee [KARI, Korea]  
 Yu-Cheol LEE [ETRI, Korea]  
 JaeYeong LEE [ETRI, Korea]  
 Lee Chang Eun [ETRI, Korea]  
 Heoncheol Lee [Kumoh Nat'l Institute of Technology, Korea]  
 Young Il Lee [Seoul National Univ of Science and Technology, Korea]  
 Kyung Chang Lee [Pukyong National Univ, Korea]  
 Young-Jin Lee [Autopower, Korea]  
 Sang-Hoon Lee [RS Automation, Korea]  
 Donghai Li [Tsinghua Univ, China]  
 Hongbo Li [Tsinghua Univ, China]  
 Yangmin Li [Univ. of Macau, China]  
 Ji-Hong Li [KIRO, Korea]  
 Wei Li [California State Univ, USA]  
 Der-Cheng Liaw [Nat'l Chia Tung Univ, Taiwan]  
 Myo Taeg Lim [Korea Univ, Korea]  
 Fei Liu [Jiangnan Univ, China]  
 Tao Liu [Dalian Univ. of Tech, China]  
 Stefano Longo [Cranfield Univ, United Kingdom]  
 Eric T. Matson [Purdue Univ, USA]  
 Byung-Cheol Min [Purdue Univ, USA]

Yuchi Ming [Huazhong Univ of Science and Technology, China]  
 Jun Moon [Hanyang Univ, Korea]  
 Taesup Moon [Sungkyunkwan Univ, Korea]  
 Hyungpil Moon [Sungkyunkwan Univ, Korea]  
 Yoshitami Morita [Nagoya Inst. of Tech., Japan]  
 Hyun Myung [KAIST, Korea]  
 Nobuaki Nakazawa [Gunnun Univ, Japan]  
 Quang Hieu Ngo [Can Tho Univ, Vietnam]  
 Quoc Chi Nguyen [Ho Chi Minh City Univ. of Tech, Vietnam]  
 Yoshinasa Ochi [Nat'l Defense Academy, Japan]  
 Jaehyun Park [Inha Univ, Korea]  
 Jong Hyeon Park [Hanyang Univ, Korea]  
 Ju-Hyun Park [Yeongnam Univ, Korea]  
 Kyi Hwan Park [GIST, Korea]  
 Sangdeok Park [KITECH, Korea]  
 Youngjin Park [KAIST, Korea]  
 Dongil Park [KIMM, Korea]  
 Jaebung Park [Chonbuk Univ, Korea]  
 Ju-Youn Park [The George Washington Univ, Post-Doctor, USA]  
 Hyung-Soon Park [KAIST, Korea]  
 Poogyeon Park [POSTECH, Korea]  
 Martin Ples [VSB-Technical Univ. of Ostrava, Czech Republic]  
 Joe "Sizhae" Qin [Chinese Univ. of Hong Kong, Hong Kong]  
 Muhammad Rehan [Pakistan Inst. of Eng. & Tech, Pakistan]  
 Keun-Ho Rew [Hoseo Univ, Korea]  
 Napoleon Reyes [Massey Univ, New Zealand]  
 Mahmut Reyhanoglu [Embry-Riddle Aeronautical Univ, USA]  
 Chang-Kyung Ryoo [Inha Univ, Korea]  
 Jee-Hwan Ryu [KAIST, Korea]  
 Si-Jung Ryu [KAIST, Korea]  
 Yohei Saita [Gunma Nat'l College of Tech, Japan]  
 Minoru Sasaki [Gifu Univ, Japan]  
 Chalermchon Satirapod [Chulalongkorn Univ, Thailand]  
 TaeWon Seo [Hanyang Univ, Korea]  
 Yongho Seo [Mokwon Univ, Korea]  
 Mohammad Shamsuzzoha [Abu Dhabi Oil Refining Co, Saudi Arabia]  
 Tielong Shen [Sophia Univ, Japan]  
 Buhyun Shin [Hankbat Univ, Korea]  
 Peter Sincak [TU Kosice, Slovakia]  
 William Singhose [Georgia Inst. of Tech, USA]  
 Young Ik Son [Myongji Univ, Korea]  
 Hyoung Il Son [Chonnam National Univ, Korea]  
 Soohwan Song [KAIST, Korea]  
 Jin Woo Song [Sejong Univ, Korea]  
 Zengqi Sun [Tsinghua Univ, China]  
 Sangkyung Sung [Konkuk Univ, Korea]  
 Sang Yeop Sung [Intellian Tech, Korea]  
 Yoshihiko Takahashi [Kanagawa Inst. of Tech, Japan]  
 Ching-Chih Tasi [Nat'l Chung-Hsing Univ, Taiwan]  
 Shigeyuki Tateno [Waseda Univ, Japan]  
 Tipyaya Tipsuwanporn [King Mongkut's Inst. of Tech, Thailand]  
 Nguyen-Vu Truong [Vietnam Academy of Sci. & Tech, Vietnam]  
 Raissa Uskenbayeva [InterNat'l Information Tech. Univ, Kazakhstan]  
 Igor Verner [Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Israel]  
 Gurvinder Virk [CLAWAR Association Limited, UK]  
 Sheng-Guo Wang [UNC Charlotte, USA]  
 Fu-Cheng Wang [Nat'l Taiwan Univ, Taiwan]  
 Youqing Wang [Beijing Univ. of Sci. and Tech, China]  
 Yang Wang [Georgia Institute of Technology, USA]  
 Keigo Watanabe [Okayama Univ, Japan]  
 Ick Ho Whang [ADD, Korea]  
 Augie Widoyatrianto [Bandung Inst. of Tech, Indonesia]  
 Hyun Soo Woo [KAIST, Korea]  
 Weiliang Xu [Univ of Auckland, New Zealand]  
 Yoji Yamada [Nagoya Univ, Japan]  
 Tetsuo Yamaguchi [Kumamoto Univ, Japan]  
 Guang-Hong Yang [Northeastern Univ, China]  
 Soon Yong Yang [Univ. of Ulsan, Korea]  
 Jung-Min Yang [Kyungpook National Univ, Korea]  
 Yong-Ho Yoo [Koh Young Research America (KYRA), Korea]  
 Jeong-Ki Yoo [Daejeon Univ, Korea]  
 Woon Jong Yoon [Univ. of Washington, USA]  
 Ji Sup Yoon [KAERI, Korea]  
 Kuk-Jin Yoon [KAIST, Korea]  
 Sung-eui Yoon [KAIST, Korea]  
 Hosub Yoon [ETRI, Korea]  
 Kaori Yoshida [Kyushu Institute of Technology, Japan]  
 Wonpil Yu [ETRI, Korea]  
 Muhammed Azzat bin Zakaria [Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia]  
 Youmin Zhang [Concordia Univ, Canada]

# ICCAS 2021

**Welcome to Jeju, an island of sky and sea!**

Jeju is the biggest island of Korea. Basalt rocks cover more than 90% area of this universal volcanic island. It is proud of its unique cultural folk heritage as well as its geographical and historical relevance. The island itself is an extinct volcano with its peak jutting skyward at the center and a broad, gentle littoral all the way around showing a very unique geographical condition. There are bountiful forests and ravines, fantastic rock formations and volcanic craters, and caves and grasslands that together paint a natural scene of breathtaking beauty. Sparkling seas and tiny islets surround Jeju, with rocks scattering amidst sandy beaches to create a magnificent view everywhere you look. In 2007, the volcanic island and lava tube cave systems were designated as UNESCO World Natural Heritage Sites for their natural beauty and geographical value.

## On a Proxy-Based Control as Multiple Controllers with Feedback Interconnections

Auralius Manurung<sup>1\*</sup>, Sigit Santoso<sup>2</sup>, Nur Uddin<sup>3</sup> and Lisa Kristiana<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universitas Pertamina, Jakarta, Indonesia (e-mail: auralius.manurung@ieee.org) \* Corresponding author

<sup>2</sup>Center for Nuclear Reactor Technology and Safety, BATAN, Banten, Indonesia (e-mail: sigitsan@batan.go.id)

<sup>3</sup>Department of Informatics, Universitas Pembangunan Jaya, Tangerang Selatan, Indonesia (e-mail: nur.uddin@upj.ac.id)

<sup>4</sup>Department of Informatics, Institut Teknologi Nasional Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia, (e-mail: lisa@itenas.ac.id)

**Abstract:** A proxy-based control, in its core, is two controllers combined in series. This paper presents the fundamental aspects of such a unique control technique. We first establish the main features of a proxy-based control. These features are mainly related to the stability of a controlled system. Additionally, we propose a simple form of a proxy-based control and implement it into a test device for proof of concept. The simple proxy-based control that we propose in this paper is composed of two constant gains (proportional terms) and one integral term to remove the steady-state error. This integral term must be attached to the controller with the lower impedance. We also explore another feature of a proxy-based control during this hardware implementation: how we use one control gain to perform fine-tuning while using the other control gain to maintain system stability. We demonstrate this by adding an adaptation law also to the controller with the lower impedance. All obtained results align with the presented theory.

**Keywords:** Proxy-based control, System stability, Feedback interconnections, Adaptive control.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A proxy-based control is relatively a new control method that was introduced in 2006 by Kikuuwe et al. (see [1], [2]). It has been implemented successfully in several other works, such as in [3], [4], [5], and [6]. To the best of the authors' knowledge, a proxy-based control has only been implemented in the field of robotics for motion control applications.

When first introduced, a proxy-based control combines two controls: a sliding mode control (SMC) and a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control, where those two controllers are connected in series. It is more common to combine different controllers in parallel structures. Typically, the outputs from these different controllers enter a summing junction, and the result is then sent to the plant. The introduction of a proxy analogous to a mass with zero weight is why the two controllers can be used in one system. The way these controllers are connected is different from in a cascaded controller. A cascaded controller creates several feedback loops, e.g., inner loop and outer loop [7]. These loops do not exist in a proxy-based control.

The original paper in a proxy-based control focuses on a specific type of a proxy based-control, which the original authors named a proxy-based sliding mode control (PSMC). As we attempt to generalize the concept of a proxy-based control, we notice that it should also be possible to use control methods other than the original authors proposed. More-

over, employing more than two controllers should also be theoretically possible. Theoretical analysis on a proxy-based control, including its stability characteristics, can also be made general

As a proof of concept, we have implemented a simple proxy-based control to a linear system with time delay. We selected such a system because an inherently stable linear system can become unstable in the presence of time delay when feedback control is implemented into it (see [8]). This phenomenon is similar to motion control, where the actuators experience saturation and blocked movement. A proxy control is beneficial for such a system because of its inherently bounded control output.

This paper's contribution is in the investigation of basic principles of a proxy-based control, allowing it to be implemented in a more general situation. Simply put, this paper generalizes the concept of a proxy-based control. In doing so, we have expanded the application of a proxy-based control outside the field of robotics. Hence, we strengthen a proxy-based control's practicability, in addition to the existing in the original paper.

This paper organizes as follows. In Section 2 we explain the basic concept of a proxy-based control. In Section 3, we provide some examples of a proxy-based control. In Section 4, we propose a simple proxy-based control which we then implement in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we provide conclusion to our work.

## 2. BASIC CONCEPT

To deliver the basic concept of a proxy-based control, let us first create an analogy in the mechanical domain. Later, we can expand the analogy to other domains as well. Fig. 1 shows the mechanical representation of a general proxy-based control. In a mechanical domain, an inherently stable system  $W(s)$  is controlled by several interconnected controllers. Each controller is presented as a virtual mechanical impedance ( $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n$ ) that takes position/velocity as the input and sends force/torque as the output. These virtual mechanical impedances are interconnected serially. The total mechanical impedance can then be calculated as the inverse of the harmonic sum of each impedance:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{tot}} &= \frac{1}{1/Z_1 + 1/Z_2 + \dots + 1/Z_n} \\ &= \frac{Z_1 Z_2 \dots Z_n}{Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where all impedances are real valued and their values are defined by the amplitude and frequency of the applied input. Here, the concept of mechanical impedance is analogous to the concept of impedance in a resistor-inductor-capacitor (RLC) circuit in the field of electrical engineering [9].




Fig. 1. Mechanical representation of a proxy-based control.

Fig. 1 can also be presented as Fig. 2. In Fig. 2, the serially connected virtual impedances are drawn as feedback interconnections. The connection order of the individual controller is irrelevant since it does not affect the resulting final controller. This can be seen clearly from Eq. 1.




Fig. 2. Feedback interconnection in a proxy-based control.

As for its stability, a proxy based controller possess a unique characteristic that can be used to sim-

plify its stability analysis. Let us start with the following remark. Any feedback interconnection can not cause an already stable feedback controlled system to become unstable.

Proof: To proof this remark, we use the mechanical analogy of a proxy-based control as shown in Fig. 3. Let us take  $Z_{\text{tot}-1}$  as the total impedance of Eq. 1 when one arbitrary impedance is removed, i.e.,  $Z_1$ . Setting  $|Z_1| = 0$  gives us the top figure. On the other hand, setting  $|Z_1| = \infty$  give us the bottom figure. In other word,  $Z_{\text{tot}} = 0$  when  $|Z_1| = 0$  and  $Z_{\text{tot}} = Z_{\text{tot}-1}$  when  $|Z_1| = \infty$ . There is no value of  $Z_1$  that can cause  $Z_{\text{tot}} > Z_{\text{tot}-1}$ . Since how the impedances are ordered is irrelevant, the other way around is also valid. ■




Fig. 3. A feedback interconnection that remains stable.

In simpler words, the value of the total impedance ( $Z_{\text{tot}}$ ) tells us how stiff the controller is. The higher its value, the stiffer the controller becomes. Hence, the risk for the controlled system to go unstable is also higher. Since the total impedance of the controller is naturally bounded by the smaller individual controller impedance, we can guarantee the system's stability as long as the smaller impedance never causes the controlled system unstable.

## 3. PROXY-BASED CONTROL'S EXAMPLES

In this section, we discuss two control methods that can be classified as a proxy-based control. The first example is the original PSMC, which is composed of a PID and an SMC (see Fig. 4). The second example is the Smith predictor which is composed of a proportional control and a time delay predictor (see Fig. 5). As can be seen from their diagrams, both control methods have a feedback interconnection. The existence of a feedback interconnection becomes the indicator of a proxy-based control.

Since a PSMC and a Smith predictor are both proxy-based controls, we can calculate the total impedance for both controllers. In a PSMC, its total




Fig. 4. A proxy-based control with a PID control and an SMC.




Fig. 5. The basic Smith predictor as a proxy-based control.

impedance can be calculated as follows:

$$Z_{\text{tot}} = \frac{sF\text{sgn}(Hs + 1)}{(K_d s^2 + K_p s + K_i)F\text{sgn}(Hs + 1) + s} \quad (2)$$

where  $K_p$ ,  $K_i$  and  $K_d$  are the parameters for the PID, which represent the gain for the proportional term, integral term and derivative term, respectively.  $F$  and  $H$  are the parameters for the SMC, which represent the SMC output saturation and proportional term, respectively.

As for a Smith predictor, its total impedance can be calculated as follows:

$$Z_{\text{tot}} = \frac{\widehat{W}(s)(1 - e^{-sT_d})C(s)}{\widehat{W}(s)(1 - e^{-sT_d}) + C(s)} \quad (3)$$

where  $W(s)$  and  $\widehat{W}(s)$  are the actual and estimated system's model, respectively,  $T_d$  is the system's time delay, and  $C(s)$  is the controller.

Now, since we have concluded that a PSMC and a Smith predictor both belong to the same controller family: a proxy-based control, stability analysis for those two controllers can be made simpler. We can easily remove the mathematically more complicated controls and analyze only one and much simpler control for the overall system's stability. analysis.

#### 4. THE PROPOSED SIMPLE PROXY-BASED CONTROL

In this paper, we propose a simple proxy based control which combines a proportional control (P-control) and a proportional-integral control (PI-control) as shown in Fig. 6. Hence, there are three tuning constants in the proposed control. They are two proportional constants ( $K_p$  and  $K_q$ ) and one integral constant ( $K_i$ ).

From Eq. 1, we can conclude that the controller with lower impedance will dominate the dynamics of the total controller  $Z_{\text{tot}}$ . For such a reason, the




Fig. 6. The block diagram of the proposed proxy control (the upper figure is equivalent to the lower figure).

integral term must be attached to the P-control with a lower gain - in other words, the control with a lower impedance. Therefore, in Fig. 6, the value of  $K_p$  must be greater than the value of  $K_q$ .

Stability analysis can be performed by first removing the PI-control, leaving us with only with the P-control. Thus, a root locus technique can be used to guarantee that the selected gain for  $K_q$  does not cause the controlled system to become unstable. Additionally, modeling the system becomes necessary since  $W(s)$  must be known in order to plot its root locus.

#### 5. PROOF-OF-CONCEPT HARDWARE IMPLEMENTATION

This section presents the hardware implementation of the proposed proxy-control on a simple test device, which is an educational thermal device that we have previously developed. Here, we first start with the descriptions of the test device and then continue with the device modeling and control implementations.

##### 5.1 Hardware Descriptions

The thermal device that is used in this paper was originally developed for teaching the dynamics and control course for upper-year undergraduate students in mechanical engineering. For such devices, there have been several quite similar devices reported in literatures (see [10], [11]). One of the requirements for the developed device is that the device must be inexpensive. On the other hand, it must have sufficient performance for temperature control implementations. Since the dynamic of temperature changes is relatively slow, the two main requirement can be fulfilled satisfactorily by the device that we have developed. .

The developed device is shown in Fig. 7. The device is built on a single board stacked on top of an Arduino Uno. It is comprised of two heaters which are made of two power resistors (5 Watts/27 Ohms).

On each heater an analog temperature sensors (LM 35) is mounted to measure the heater's temperature. Temperature of both heaters are controlled by an Arduino Uno (Arduino AG, Italy) which sends pulse width modulation signals (PWM), from 0% to 100%, to each heater through a transistor (IRLB 3034). When receiving a 0%-PWM signal, the heater does not heat up and the temperature eventually settles to the current room temperature. When the heater receives a 100%-PWM signal, the heater heats up to its maximum heating rate until it settles to its maximum temperature. However, in this research paper, only one of the heaters is actually used. Details on the developed thermal control device has been made available for public in [12].




Fig. 7. The schematic (upper) and the developed (lower) temperature control device.

Throughout this paper, the programs for data collections and control algorithm are implemented in a computer running MATLAB and Simulink (The MathWorks, Inc., USA). The program is always set to the highest possible priority to create a soft real-time environment. The Arduino Uno is connected to the computer and it functions only as input-output server. All computations are running at 10 Hz with less than one percent jitter.

### 5.2 System Modeling

To model the heater, we use a linear second order model with a time delay. The final model's parameters are obtained from an optimization process. Since this optimization process requires initial parameter guesses, we perform Harriott's method [13], [14] for system identification and use the obtained values as the initial guesses. The optimized model that we have found is as follows:

$$W(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{U(s)} = \frac{e^{-10.2s}}{1622.5s^2 + 186.8s + 0.9} \quad (4)$$

where  $Y(s)$  is the Laplace form of  $y(t)$  and  $U(s)$  is the Laplace form of  $u(t)$ . They represent the tem-

perature of the heater and the applied PWM signal to the heater in Laplace domain, respectively. The performance of the developed model is summarized in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8. Output of the model compared to the actual system's response.

Once we have calculated the model of the system, we can then generate the root locus plot of the system. This can be easily done by using the MATLAB rlocus command. However, the rlocus command can only take linear function as its input. Therefore, we must first perform Pade approximation [15] to eliminate the exponential function from Eq. 4. For the system that we use, the approximated model is found to be as follows:

$$\frac{Y(s)}{U(s)} = \frac{-s + 0.2}{1622s^3 + 504.9s^2 + 37.5s + 0.2} \quad (5)$$

As for the root locus plot of Eq. 5, we present the plot in Fig. 9. From this root locus plot, we know that one of the gain for the proposed proxy-based control must be less than 21. In most control system textbooks, such a gain is known as the  $j\omega$ -crossing gain.




Fig. 9. Root locus of the linearized system.

### 5.3 Control Implementations and Results

In this section we conducted two experiments. In the first experiment, we implemented the proposed simple proxy-based control that we have already described in Section 4 (see Fig. 10). In the second experiment, we added a simple adaptation law based



on the work of Seraji [16] to the control with lower impedance (see Fig. 11). The adaptation law modify the gain linearly based on the current error value. As the result, when the error is larger, the control impedance increases, and vice versa. The purpose of the second experiment is merely to demonstrate that with the proposed proxy-based control, we can modify one controller without risking system's stability. Here, the stability is guaranteed by another controller with higher impedance.




Fig. 10. The implemented proxy-based control.




Fig. 11. An adaptation law is added to the control with lower impedance.

In both experiments, a step input with an amplitude of 50 degrees Celcius was given to the test device. Consequently, the temperature of the heater then slowly rose up. The responses of the system for both experiments can be seen in Fig. 12. Adding an adaptation law has improved the control performance. From Fig. 12, we can see that the adaptive control contributes to lesser overshoot and faster settling time in system's response. However, this is not the main issue that we want to address in this paper. The main point from the two experiments that we would like to emphasize is that a proxy-based control has strong practicability due to its unique characteristics. In a proxy-based control, we can modify one of the controllers without risking the stability of the whole system. Such a feature is very beneficial during the actual control implementations.

## 6. CONCLUSION

A proxy-based control is originally proposed within a very specific control framework. The generalization of a proxy-based control is still left unexplored by its authors. Therefore, in this paper, we have explored and generalized the theoretical aspects of a proxy-based control. We have pointed the benefits of having such a control method in real-world control applications, which are not limited to the field of robotics. We have also performed several basic experiments to demonstrate the practicability of a proxy-based control. The demonstration that




Fig. 12. Step responses of the proposed controllers with and without an adaptation law.

we have done is using a proxy based control with a much simpler structure than the original proxy-based control structure. However, more rigorous theoretical proofs and more sophisticated experiments are still needed. These topics are the future works that we still need to conduct to make this relatively new concept more established.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Kikuuwe and H. Fujimoto, "Proxy based sliding mode control for accurate and safe position control," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, no. May, pp. 25–30, 2006.
- [2] R. Kikuuwe, S. Yasukouchi, H. Fujimoto, and M. Yamamoto, "Proxy-based sliding mode control: A safer extension of PID position control," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 670–683, 2010.
- [3] M. Van Damme, B. Vanderborght, B. Verrelst, R. Van Ham, F. Daerden, and D. Lefeber, "Proxy-based Sliding Mode Control of a Planar Pneumatic Manipulator," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 266–284, 2009.
- [4] P. J. Prieto, E. Rubio, L. Hernández, and O. Urquijo, "Proxy-based sliding mode control on platform of 3 degree of freedom (3-DOF)," *Advanced Robotics*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 773–784, 2013.
- [5] N. Kashiri, J. Lee, N. G. Tsagarakis, M. Van Damme, B. Vanderborght, and D. G. Caldwell, "Proxy-based position control of manipulators with passive compliant actuators: Stability analysis and experiments," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 75, pp. 398–408, 2016.
- [6] G. Y. Gu, L. M. Zhu, C. Y. Su, H. Ding, and S. Fatikow, "Proxy-Based Sliding-Mode Tracking Control of Piezoelectric-Actuated Nanopositioning Stages," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on*

- Mechatronics, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 1956–1965, 2015.
- [7] I. Kaya and D. P. Atherton, “Use of Smith Predictor in the Outer Loop for Cascaded Control of Unstable and Integrating Processes,” *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 1981–1987, mar 2008.
  - [8] W. Michiels, “Control of Linear Systems with Delays,” in *Encyclopedia of Systems and Control*. Springer London, 2013, no. 3, pp. 1–10.
  - [9] H. Olesen and R. Randall, “A Guide to Mechanical Impedance and Structural Response Techniques,” *Bruel and Kjaer Application Note*, p. 18, 1977.
  - [10] P. M. Oliveira and J. D. Hedengren, “An AP-Monitor Temperature Lab PID Control Experiment for Undergraduate Students,” in *Proceedings of the 24th IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation*. Zaragoza: IEEE, Sep 2019, pp. 790–797.
  - [11] L. Q. Tran, P. Radcliffe, and L. Wang, “A low budget take-home control engineering laboratory for undergraduate,” *International Journal of Electrical Engineering & Education*, June 2019.
  - [12] “Github Page of Universitas Pertamina Temperature Control Device,” [https://github.com/auralius/up\\_temperature\\_control\\_device](https://github.com/auralius/up_temperature_control_device), 2020, [Online; accessed 3-July-2020].
  - [13] P. Harriott, *Process Control*. McGraw-Hill, 1964.
  - [14] D. Seborg, T. Edgar, and D. Mellichamp, *Process Dynamics and Control*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc, 1989.
  - [15] Y. Wei, Y. Hu, Y. Dai, and Y. Wang, “A generalized Padé approximation of time delay operator,” *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 181–187, 2016.
  - [16] H. Seraji, “Adaptive admittance control: an approach to explicit force control in compliant motion,” *Proceedings of the 1994 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 2705–2712, 1994.