

ABSTRACT

COMPARISON OF FRAMING IN REPORTING ON THE ISRAEL-PALESTINE CONFLICT (Pan and Kosicki's Framing Analysis on the Websites Kompas.com and Republika.co.id, November 2023 - January 2024)

Nadjandra Chitta Mahira¹⁾, Bakti Abdillah Putra S.H.Int., M.Int.Comm²⁾

¹⁾ Student of Communication Science Department, Universitas Pembangunan Jaya

²⁾ Lecturer of Communication Science Department, Universitas Pembangunan Jaya

This study analyzes how Kompas.com and Republika.co.id presented information on the Israel-Palestine conflict from November 2023 to January 2024. Using the framework model of Zhongdang Pan and Gerald M. Kosicki, the research examines the language, writing style, and themes of 22 articles. The results indicate how both media outlets framed the conflict differently. The analysis shows that Kompas.com remained impartial by advocating for humanity, while Republika.co.id demonstrated bias towards the country victimized by this conflict. The research findings highlight the differences in approach between the two media outlets: Kompas.com aimed for neutrality, whereas Republika.co.id emphasized the Palestinian perspective. This study is significant because it addresses important news values such as timeliness, impact, conflict, and human interest. It helps understand how media narratives can influence public perception of complex international conflict.

Keywords: Media Framing, Kompas.com, Republika.co.id, Zhongdang Pan and Gerald M. Kosicki Framing Analysis, Israel-Palestine Conflict, News Values.

Libraries : 69

Publication Years : 2015 – 2024